

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,743		02/08/2002	Kevin Paul Deveney	13DV-13628	3375	
23465	7590	08/17/2004		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. B	EULICK		MILLER, ROSE MARY			
C/O ARMS	TRONG 1	TEASDALE, LLP				
ONE METR	ROPOLITA	AN SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 2600	)	`	2856			
ST LOUIS,	MO 631	102-2740	DATE MAN ED 00/13/0004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/683,743	DEVENEY ET AL.	
Autisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rose M Miller	2856	A-
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner of the partner of the property of the control of the property of the control of the property of the control of the property of th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THITE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the listatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	-		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $igtii$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:			

1 4

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly presented claims 1 and 19 are not true incorporations of dependent claims and therefore raise the issue of new 112/2nd problems which require further consideration.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800